

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND Washington, D.C. 20231	
www.uspto.gov	

			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO. 09/825,930	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVERTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTO		3817
	04/05/2001	Mi-Hyun Son	Q63362	3017	
7590 05/24/2002 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202		F		INER	
			NGSOOK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No		Applicant(s)	
	lacksquare	09/825,930	-	SON ET AL.	
	arr Astion Cummans	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Office Action Summary	\ _	um.	2817	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Seungsook Ha	er sheet with the		dress
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure	PREPLY STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutionally proceed by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayed the maximum statutory. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, he by within the statutory will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be minimum of thirty (30) of ire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed lays will be considered time om the mailing date of this o	ly. ommunication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	April 2001 .			
1)⊠	2h\⊠ T	This action is not	n-final.		
2a)□	This action is that is	and the second for	r formal matters	prosecution as to t	he merits is
3)☐ Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice undo on of Claims	, Expans	de, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	n. -	tion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consi	deration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	المصقدين بينا				
71	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election req	uirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	hiocted to by the	Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) L o	o hold in ahevance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(а).
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o (a)Draming ent c anr	roved b)∏ disa	pproved by the Exar	niner.
11)[Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on	is. a) app	e action		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this officer.	o dollorii		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	LACIMINOT.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	معاود والطائب فالالتيان الالا	lor 35115 C & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)区	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority und	151 JU U.U.U. 3		
a	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	معامدين المسام	received		
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have beer	rocaived in Ann	dication No.	
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have beer	nto bayo boon re	eceived in this Natio	nal Stage
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	ied copies not re	eceived.	
4.05	A almoyledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. 9	119(e) (to a provio	onal application).
I	an e to lamenco	a provisional an	กแตลแอก กลร ของ	511 10001100.	
15)[a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languay ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachn					or No(s)
1) 🛛 N	Iotice of References Cited (PTO-892) Iotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 10(s) <u>3</u> .	4) Interview S 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	ummary (PTO-413) Pap Iformal Patent Applicatio	er No(s) · n (PTO-152)
3) 🖂 🗓	normalor of order				Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, line 13, "a capacitor compensator formed of a closed loop stripline" is misleading since the applicant's invention is based on a capacitor being a lumped element (see claim 1). Moreover, it is unclear as to how a closed loop stripline functions as a capacitor compensator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rousseau (FR '216) or Graf (DE '435).

Rousseau (figs. 1-6) discloses a radio-filter comprising: input and output terminals 9, 10, a transmission line filter having at least one pair of transmission lines 3-8, each of the transmission lines having a via-hole located at each of its respective ends 13-24 (see also fig. 5), a ground layer 32 connected to the transmission lines through

Art Unit: 2817

via-holes; and a capacitor compensator 33 of lumped element connected through via-holes located at second ends of the transmission lines to connected the transmission lines and a ground layer (see fig. 5).

Graf (figs. 1 and 2) also discloses a radio-filter comprising: input and output terminals P, a transmission line filter having at least one pair of transmission lines R, each of the transmission lines having a via-hole located at each of its respective ends K(see fig. 2), a ground layer M connected to the transmission lines through via-holes; and a capacitor compensator C of lumped element connected through via-holes located at second ends of the transmission lines to connected the transmission lines and a ground layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2817

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rousseau (FR '216) or Graf (DE '435).

Rousseau and Graf are applied as above. It should be noted that Rousseau and Graf disclose the transmission lines being microstrip lines (see abstract). It is inherent from the device of Rousseau or Graf that the capacitor compensator 33, C provides a length of the transmission lines that is a half wavelength of a center frequency of the transmission line filter. Alternatively, Rousseau teaches that each capacitor compensator 35-38 are tunable (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to tune the capacitor compensator such that a length of the transmission lines electrically meets a half wavelength of a center frequency of the transmission line filter to obtain a desired filter frequency. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the capacitor compensator which provides a half wavelength of a center frequency of the transmission line filter in the device of Graf to obtain a desired filter frequency.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rousseau (FR '216) or Graf in view of Hirai (JP '903).

Rousseau is applied as above. Rousseau (see figs. 1 and 5) and Graf (fig. 2, element M') also show a capacitor compensator formed of a closed loop stripline

Art Unit: 2817

containing a via-hole connected with one of the via-holes of the striplines of the strip line filter. Rousseau or Graf does not show the top ground layer having second input and output terminal formed of closed loop striplines containing via-holes connected respectively with the via-holes of the first input and output terminals. However, such triplate stripline structure is well known in the art. Hirai (figs. 1-3 and 12) discloses a triplate stripline filter having input and output terminals formed of a closed loop stripline 28 connected to the stripline filter by via-holes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide second input and output terminals on the top ground layer and coupled to the first input and output terminals through via-holes in the device of Rousseau or Graft since such design technique is well known and also provide a high degree of designing freedom as taught by Hirai.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lacour et al., Ishikawa, Suzuki, Seitzer et al., Ooi et al. and Komazaki et al. disclose stripline filter having lumped capacitors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (703)308-4090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on (703)308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

Art Unit: 2817

(703)308-7724 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2817

sh May 16, 2002